UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/800,347	03/12/2004	Michael Ian McGregor	RPS920040005US1	9907
45219 7590 11/13/2008 KUNZLER & ASSOCIATES			EXAMINER	
8 EAST BROA		RUBIN, BLAKE J		
SUITE 600 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2457	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/13/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/800,347	MCGREGOR ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	BLAKE RUBIN	2457		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tid d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 A This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr			
Disposition of Claims				
4)	awn from consideration. e rejected.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	oate		

Art Unit: 2457

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is a response to communications filed August 15, 2008.

2. Claims 1, 3-6, 8, 10-18, 20, 21, and 23 are pending in this application. Claims 1, 8, 16, 17, and 20 are currently amended. Claims 2, 7, 9, 19, and 22 are cancelled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 3-6, 8, 10-18, 20, 21, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ring et al. (U.S. Patent No, 7,080,104, hereinafter Ring), in view of Caughey (U.S. Patent Application No. 2003/0158860), in further view of Multer et al (U.S. Patent No. 7,007,041, hereinafter Multer).
- 5. With respect to claims 1, 8, 16, 17, and 20, Ring discloses an apparatus for automatically populating an interactive messaging contact list (column 3, lines 36-59), the apparatus comprising:
- a retrieval module configured to retrieve contact information corresponding to online users from a plurality of contact sources associated with a user including at least one electronic address book (column 19, lines 4-10); and

a messaging server (column 9, lines 35-42)

an insertion module configured to add the validated contact information to an interactive messaging contact list (column 19, lines 4-10); and

wherein at least a portion of the retrieval module, validation module, and the insertion module comprise at least one of logic hardware elements and executable code, the executable code stored on one or more computer readable media (column 7, lines 34-41).

But, Ring does not disclose local contact sources, interactive prompting of the user, or checking for user validation.

However, Caughy discloses a validation module configured to verify which of the online users are valid users (paragraph [0049], lines 10-13, user appears in the new contacts address book) of an interactive messaging service (paragraph [0048], lines 3-8, electronic messaging application 17a), to interactively prompt the user during a single online session to accept or reject contact information for each valid user (paragraph [0045], lines 1-2), and to provide validated contact information (paragraph [0049], lines 10-19, predetermined set of contact information), the validated contact information comprising the contact information for the online users who are valid users and are accepted by the user (paragraph [0049], lines 10-19, predetermined set of contact information);

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Ring with the teachings of Caughy. The motivation to combine being, to increase the accuracy and efficiency of the apparatus by allowing the user to check the conflicting data with the records of a database with authorized users.

And Multer discloses a plurality of contact sources residing on a user's computer (column 11, lines 21-27);

Art Unit: 2457

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Ring and Caughy with the teachings of Multer. The motivation to combine being, to increase the accuracy and efficiency of the apparatus by allowing the user to check the conflicting data on the local computer.

- 6. With respect to claim 3, the combination of Ring, Caughy and Multer discloses the apparatus of claim 1, wherein the known address book format is selected from the group consisting of a Lotus Notes format, an Eudora Mail format, and a Microsoft Outlook format (column 8, lines 1-6).
- 7. With respect to claim 4, the combination of Ring, Caughy and Multer discloses the apparatus of claim 1, wherein the retrieval module is further configured to extract contact information by parsing an email address retrieved from the plurality of contact sources (column 15, lines 37-53).
- 8. With respect to claim 5, the combination of Ring, Caughy and Multer discloses the apparatus of claim 1, wherein the retrieval module is further configured to extract contact information by parsing a first and last name retrieved from the plurality of contact sources (column 15, lines 37-53).

Art Unit: 2457

9. With respect to claim 6, the combination of Ring, Caughy and Multer discloses the apparatus of claim 1, wherein the validation module is further configured to prompt a user to resolve conflicts in contact information (column 19, lines 61-67; column 20, lines 1-2).

- 10. With respect to claim 10, the combination of Ring, Caughy and Multer discloses the method of claim 15, wherein the known address book format is selected from the group consisting of a Lotus Notes format, an Eudora Mail format, and a Microsoft Outlook format (column 8, lines 1-6).
- 11. With respect to claim 11, the combination of Ring, Caughy and Multer discloses the method of claim 14, further comprising parsing the contact information (column 15, lines 37-53).
- 12. With respect to claim 12, the combination of Ring, Caughy and Multer discloses the method of claim 11, wherein parsing the contact information comprises resolving a first and last name (column 15, lines 37-53).
- 13. With respect to claim 13, the combination of Ring, Caughy and Multer discloses the method of claim 8, further comprising prompting a user to resolve conflicts in the contact information (column 19, lines 61-67; column 20, lines 1-2).

Art Unit: 2457

14. With respect to claim 14, the combination of Ring, Caughy and Multer discloses the method of claim 13, further comprising enabling a user to selectively insert contacts into the interactive messaging contact list (column 8, lines 1-17).

- 15. With respect to claim 15, the combination of Ring, Caughy and Multer discloses the method of claim 12, further comprising generating a confirmation request for an inserted contact (column 19, lines 61-67; column 20, lines 1-2).
- 16. With respect to claim 18, the combination of Ring, Caughy and Multer discloses the system of claim 17, wherein the client computer is further configured to prompt a user to resolve conflicts in contact information (column 19, lines 61-67; column 20, lines 1-2).
- 17. With respect to claim 21, the combination of Ring, Caughy and Multer discloses the computer readable storage medium of claim 20, wherein the method further comprises prompting a user to resolve conflicts in contact information (column 19, lines 61-67; column 20, lines 1-2).
- 18. With respect to claim 23, the combination of Ring, Caughy and Multer discloses the computer readable storage medium of claim 20, wherein the method further comprises generating a confirmation request for an inserted contact (column 19, lines 61-67; column 20, lines 1-2).

Art Unit: 2457

Response to Arguments

19. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-6, 8, 10-18, 20, 21, and 23 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

20. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BLAKE RUBIN whose telephone number is (571) 270-3802. The examiner can normally be reached on M-R: 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on (571) 272-4001. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 2457

10/30/08

/Rubin Blake/

Examiner, Art Unit 2457

/ARIO ETIENNE/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2457